

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,003 11/26/2003		James Rogers	1313	7541	
75	7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID J. ARCHER			FOOTLAND, LENARD A		
7037 Pomeroy Rockton, IL 61072			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/724	,003	ROGERS, JAMES				
		Examir	er	Art Unit				
		Lenard	A. Footland	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHIC - Exten after 9 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply sply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tim will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. pt for formal matters, pro		is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Application 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the allowed. Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the Applicant may not request that any object of the oath or declaration is objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the specification is objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the specification is objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the specification is objected to the specificati	e Examiner. a) accepted or ction to the drawing(so the correction is required.	n requirement. b) objected to by the formula is the large of the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s)	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice (3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/724,003

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant's election without (no argument) traverse of the species of Fig('s). 1-9 is acknowledged.

Applicant is reminded that if the amendment of any claims results in a change of the species they read upon, that is required to be indicated. In addition, if any new claims are added, it is required that the applicant indicate which of them read on the elected species. Failure to do so will result in a holding of nonresponsiveness.

Claim(s) 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and/or second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, the plug is not "within the cavity".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,003

Art Unit: 3682

Claim(s) 1-14 (and claims 15-16 to the extent definite and readable on the elected species) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Browne in view of official notice of common knowledge in the art, and/or, in the alternative, engineering design choice.

The examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the additional feature(s) such as one-way valve and materials in question since it was known in the art to do so to provide the function(s) disclosed.

Alternatively or additionally, the examiner finds that the broad provision of this/these features *vis-à-vis* that/those disclosed by the reference solve(s) no stated problem insofar as the record is concerned and, accordingly, would have been an obvious matter of design choice. See *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975).

Also, the selection of a known material based on its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill in the art. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 199, 125 USPQ 416, 418 (CCPA 1960).

Claim(s) 17-18 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,003

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7103.

Fax: 703-872-9326

Lenard A. Footland

Sund A Jutha

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600

Art Unit 3682

laf

October 4, 2005